

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,998	01/30/2004	Hideaki Takizawa	1324.69359	4521
Patrick G. Burns, Esq. GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500 300 South Wacker Drive			EXAMINER	
			MCPHERSON, JOHN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1756	
Chicago, IL (	50606		DATE MAILED: 09/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/768,998	10/768,998 TAKIZAWA, HIDEAK				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
_		John A. McPherson	1756				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence ac	ddress			
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INSIDE IN THE MAILING IN THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory put to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMU FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to becom	JNICATION.  Bay a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this case ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
	-	This action is non-final.					
3)	,—						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected	I to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	een received in this National	l Stage			
* (	application from the International Bu		mat manifest				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies	not received.				
Attachmer	nt(e)						
	n(s) be of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Intervi	ew Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	No(s)/Mail Date					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	· <u></u>	e of Informal Patent Application				

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-15, drawn to a pattern forming method, classified in class 430, subclass 318.

II. Claims 16 and 17, drawn to an exposure mask or a pair of exposure masks, classified in class 430, subclass 5.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, such as an exposure mask without a shielding band having a cutout and a protrusion, for example an exposure mask having pattern forming regions and shielding regions in a staggered configuration.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Patrick G. Burns on 9/7/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. McPherson whose telephone number is (571) Application/Control Number: 10/768,998 Page 4

Art Unit: 1756

272-1386. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on (571) 272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John A. McPherson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756

JAM 9/7/06